Exploring fibre orientation dispersion in the corpus callosum: Comparison of dMRI, PLI and Histology
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Introduction:
While increasingly sophisticated models for diffusion-weighted MRI (dMRI) enable the reconstruction of crossing fibres in the brain, less attention has been paid to more subtle fibre architecture within a voxel such as fanning. These features have potential to improve current tractography paradigms or serve as markers of local fibre coherence\(^1,2\). We present a multimodal study comparing fibre orientation dispersion derived from a parametric dispersion model\(^3\) in dMRI to equivalent models in microscopy images. Here, we use the corpus callosum (CC), a frequent test-bed for crossing fibre models, which is often assumed to be highly coherent pathway in which fibres are organized parallel. Our results, however, reveal a considerable amount of orientation dispersion, in agreement with previous literature\(^4,5\).

Methods:
Three 5 mm coronal slabs (S1-3) including the CC and the cingulate gyri were excised from formalin fixed brains. The pipeline for each sample can be found in Figure 1. MRI: Imaging was performed on a 9.4T preclinical Varian MR system using a diffusion-weighted spin echo sequence. 120 gradient directions (240 in sample S1) and 4 non-diffusion weighted images were acquired for two shells (b=2500,5000 s/mm\(^2\)). Additional parameters: TR=2.4s, TE=29ms, \(\delta\)=6ms, \(\Delta\)=16ms and 0.4 mm isotropic voxels. PLI: Samples were frozen before cutting them serially in 60\(\mu\)m sections. Sections were imaged with a polarizing microscope. Raw PLI images were acquired and processed according to existing protocols\(^6\). Histology: Samples were embedded in paraffin and cut at 6\(\mu\)m thickness. Sections were stained for proteolipidprotein (PLP; myelin marker) and glial-fibrillary-acidic-protein (GFAP, astroglial marker).

The dispersion model was fitted to the MR-data that yielded a Bingham distribution for the anisotropic volume fraction of the diffusion signal. As PLI already provides high-resolution fibre orientation maps (FOM), a Bingham distribution could be directly fitted to fibre orientation distributions. Texture analysis revealed local fibre orientations from myelin (structure tensor\(^4\)) and glia processes (discrete fourier analysis\(^7\) after color-based segmentation) in the histological images to which again a Bingham distribution was fitted. Dispersion values were extracted from the Bingham distributions and correlated between the modalities.
Results:

Broadly similar patterns can be recognized in the orientation dispersion maps between dMRI, PLI and histology, with high dispersion in crossing fibre regions like the centrum semiovale and less dispersion in the CC (Figure 2). However, even a coherent white matter bundle as the CC is estimated to exhibit a considerable amount of dispersion. Regional quantification the dispersion profiles in the CC resulted in great correspondence between PLI, histology and the dMRI (Figure 3). In terms of absolute values, the diffusion-derived estimates match the histology dispersion much better. Figure 4 illustrates some of the sources that could contribute to fibre orientation dispersion estimated by MR models. In some regions of the CC, there are significant glial cell processes with consistent orientation perpendicular to the main fibre orientation. The lateral areas with visible striping patterns in PLI appear to have local fibre bundles running at large angles (~45 degrees) in close proximity.

Figure 1. Imaging pipeline. No histological data was collected from S1. S2 and S3 were processed similarly, i.e. by splitting the sample after MR scanning in a PLI part and a histology part. Additionally, PLI slices for S2 and S3 were collected in a serial manner that allows 3D reconstruction.
Figure 2. Fibre orientation and dispersion maps. PLI fiber orientation maps are shown in HSV colorspace, with the hue channel coding for the in plane fiber orientation. Fibre orientation dispersion maps represent the angular spread of fibres when 95% of the samples are included in the Bingham distribution. They are shown for PLI, histology and dMRI.
Figure 3. Fibre orientation dispersion in the corpus callosum demonstrated with PLI, histology and dMRI using a parametric dispersion model. 

A) Corpus callosum mask. B) Fibre orientation dispersion profiles along the corpus callosum. C) Correlation between the relative orientation dispersion profiles for PLI and dMRI. 
D) Similarly as in C) but for histology instead of PLI.
Conclusions:

We present a multi-modal comparison of dispersion in the CC estimated from dMRI and measured using microscopy data. A correlation was found in the CC in terms of relative dispersion profiles. A better correlation between dMRI and histology may be ascribed to the fact that water molecules in dMRI experience these structural boundaries observed with high resolution histology, whereas PLI represents more smoothed fibre orientations at slightly lower resolution. Future work will aim to investigate if a simple but robust mapping between these modalities exists.
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